

Completely nonmeasurable unions

Robert Rałowski and Szymon Żebberski

ABSTRACT. Assume that there is no quasi-measurable cardinal smaller than 2^ω . (κ is quasi measurable if there exists κ -additive ideal $\mathcal{I}$ of subsets of κ such that the Boolean algebra $P(\kappa)/\mathcal{I}$ satisfies c.c.c.) We show that for a c.c.c. σ -ideal $\mathbb{I}$ with a Borel base of subsets of an uncountable Polish space, if $\mathcal{A}$ is a point-finite family of subsets from $\mathbb{I}$ then there is an uncountable collection of pairwise disjoint subfamilies of $\mathcal{A}$ whose union is completely non-measurable i.e. its intersection with every non-small Borel set does not belong to the σ -field generated by Borel sets and the ideal $\mathbb{I}$. This result is a generalization of Four Poles Theorem (see [1]) and results from [2] and [4].

1. Notation and motivation

In this paper X will denote an uncountable Polish space. Borel will denote all Borel subsets of X . A family $\mathbb{I} \subseteq P(X)$ will be a σ -ideal of subsets of X with Borel base containing singletons. Let us recall that $\mathbb{I}$ has Borel base means that $(\forall I \in \mathbb{I})(\exists J \in \mathbb{I} \cap \text{Borel})(I \subseteq J)$. We have the following cardinal coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} \text{add}(\mathbb{I}) &= \min\{|\mathcal{C}| : \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{I}, \bigcup \mathcal{C} \notin \mathbb{I}\}, \\ \text{cov}(\mathbb{I}) &= \min\{|\mathcal{C}| : \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{I}, \bigcup \mathcal{C} = X\}, \\ \text{cov}_h(\mathbb{I}) &= \min\{|\mathcal{C}| : \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{I}, (\exists B \in \text{Borel} \setminus \mathbb{I})(\bigcup \mathcal{C} \supseteq B)\}, \\ \text{cof}(\mathbb{I}) &= \min\{|\mathcal{C}| : \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{I}, (\forall I \in \mathbb{I})(\exists C \in \mathcal{C})(I \subseteq C)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for a family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq P(X)$ we can define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{add}(\mathcal{A}) &= \min\{|\mathcal{C}| : \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, \bigcup \mathcal{C} \notin \mathbb{I}\}, \\ \text{cov}_h^{\mathbb{I}}(\mathcal{A}) &= \min\{|\mathcal{C}| : \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, (\exists B \in \text{Borel} \setminus \mathbb{I})(\bigcup \mathcal{C} \supseteq B)\}. \end{aligned}$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 03E35, 03E75; Secondary 28A99.

Key words and phrases. quasi-measurable cardinal, nonmeasurable set, c.c.c. ideal, Polish space.

We start our consideration with the following theorem from [1]. It is known in literature as Four Poles Theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Brzuchowski, Cichoń, Grzegorek, Ryll-Nardzewski). *Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{I}$ be a point finite cover of X i.e. $(\forall x \in X)|\{A \in \mathcal{A} : x \in A\}| < \omega$. Then there exists a subfamily $\mathcal{A}'$ such that $\bigcup \mathcal{A}'$ is not $\mathbb{I}$ -measurable i.e. does not belong to the σ -field generating by Borel and $\mathbb{I}$.*

There is a hypothesis stated by J. Cichoń saying that we can improve the conclusion of the above theorem to get $\bigcup \mathcal{A}'$ completely $\mathbb{I}$ -nonmeasurable.

Definition 1.1. *We say that C is completely $\mathbb{I}$ -nonmeasurable in D iff*

$$(\forall B \in \text{Borel} \setminus \mathbb{I})(B \cap D \notin \mathbb{I} \longrightarrow (B \cap C \notin \mathbb{I} \wedge B \cap (D \setminus C) \notin \mathbb{I})).$$

Recall that $\mathbb{I}$ is c.c.c. if every family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{Borel} \setminus \mathbb{I}$ such that

$$(\forall A, A' \in \mathcal{A})(A = A' \vee A \cap A' \in \mathbb{I})$$

is at most countable.

If $\mathbb{I}$ is c.c.c then we can define $[D]_{\mathbb{I}}$ to be a minimal (modulo $\mathbb{I}$) Borel set B containing D i.e. $D \setminus B \in \mathbb{I}$ and if $D \subseteq C$ and C is Borel then $B \setminus C \in \mathbb{I}$.

Assume that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{I}$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be an ideal on $P(\mathcal{A})$ associated with $\mathbb{I}$ in the following way

$$(\forall \mathcal{X} \in P(\mathcal{A}))(\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{I} \longleftrightarrow \bigcup \mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{I}).$$

Then $W \subseteq P(\mathcal{A})$ is an antichain in $P(\mathcal{A})/\mathcal{I}$ iff $(\forall a, b \in W)(a \neq b \longrightarrow a \cap b \in \mathcal{I})$. We say that $P(\mathcal{A})/\mathcal{I}$ is c.c.c. iff every antichain on $P(\mathcal{A})/\mathcal{I}$ is at most countable.

We say that the cardinal number κ is *quasi-measurable* if there exists κ -additive ideal $\mathcal{I}$ of subsets of κ such that the Boolean algebra $P(\kappa)/\mathcal{I}$ satisfies c.c.c. Cardinal κ is *weakly inaccessible* if κ is regular cardinal and for every cardinal $\lambda < \kappa$ we have that $\lambda^+ < \kappa$. Recall that every quasi-measurable cardinal is weakly inaccessible (see [3]), so it is a large cardinal.

Let us recall a result from [4].

Theorem 1.2 (Żeberski). *Assume that there is no quasi-measurable cardinal not greater than 2^ω . Assume that $\mathbb{I}$ satisfies c.c.c. Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{I}$ be a point-finite family such that $\bigcup \mathcal{A} \notin \mathbb{I}$. Then there exists a subfamily $\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that $\bigcup \mathcal{A}'$ is completely $\mathbb{I}$ -nonmeasurable in $\bigcup \mathcal{A}$.*

2. Results

Let us recall three technical lemmas from [4] (Theorem 3.3, Lemma 3.4, Lemma 3.5).

Lemma 2.1 (Żeberski). *Assume that $\mathbb{I}$ satisfies c.c.c. Let $\{A_\xi : \xi \in \omega_1\}$ be any family of subsets of X . Then we can find a family $\{I_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \omega_1}$ of pairwise disjoint countable subsets of ω_1 such that for $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$ we have that $[\bigcup_{\xi \in I_\alpha} A_\xi]_{\mathbb{I}} = [\bigcup_{\xi \in I_\beta} A_\xi]_{\mathbb{I}}$.*

Next lemma is a reformulation of a result obtained in [4].

Lemma 2.2 (Żeberski). *Assume that $\mathbb{I}$ satisfies c.c.c. Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{I}$ be a point-finite family such that $\bigcup \mathcal{A} \notin \mathbb{I}$ and the algebra $P(\mathcal{A})/\mathcal{I}$ is not c.c.c. Then there exists a family $\{\mathcal{A}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \omega_1}$ satisfying the following conditions*

- (1) $(\forall \alpha < \omega_1)(\mathcal{A}_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{A} \wedge \bigcup \mathcal{A}_\alpha \notin \mathbb{I})$,
- (2) $(\forall \alpha < \beta < \omega_1)(\mathcal{A}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{A}_\beta = \emptyset)$,
- (3) $(\forall \alpha, \beta < \omega_1)([\bigcup \mathcal{A}_\alpha]_{\mathbb{I}} = [\bigcup \mathcal{A}_\beta]_{\mathbb{I}})$.

Lemma 2.3 (Żeberski). *Assume that $\mathbb{I}$ satisfies c.c.c. Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq P(X)$ be any point-finite family. Then there exists a subfamily $\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that $|\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}'| \leq \omega$ and*

$$(\forall B \in \text{Borel} \setminus \mathbb{I})(\forall A \in \mathcal{A}')(B \cap \bigcup \mathcal{A} \notin \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \neg(B \cap \bigcup \mathcal{A} \subseteq B \cap A)).$$

In paper [2] it is shown that if $\text{cov}_h(\mathbb{I}) = \text{cof}(\mathbb{I})$ and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{I}$ is a cover of X such that $\bigcup \{A \in \mathcal{A} : x \in A\} \in \mathbb{I}$ for every $x \in X$, then there is a family $\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that $\bigcup \mathcal{A}'$ is completely $\mathbb{I}$ nonmeasurable. This result can be generalized. Namely, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{I}$ be a family satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) $(\forall B \in \text{Borel} \setminus \mathbb{I})|\{\mathcal{A}(x) : \bigcup \mathcal{A}(x) \cap B \neq \emptyset, x \in X\}| = 2^\omega$,
- (2) $\text{cov}_h^{\mathbb{I}}(\{\bigcup \mathcal{A}(x) : x \in X\}) = 2^\omega$,

where $\mathcal{A}(x) = \{A \in \mathcal{A} : x \in A\}$. Then there exists continuum many pairwise disjoint subfamilies $\{\mathcal{A}_\alpha : \alpha \in 2^\omega\}$ of a family $\mathcal{A}$ such that for every $\alpha \in 2^\omega$ a set $\bigcup \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ is completely $\mathbb{I}$ -nonmeasurable.

PROOF. Let us enumerate the set of all Borel $\mathbb{I}$ positive sets $\text{Borel} \setminus \mathbb{I} = \{B_\alpha : \alpha < 2^\omega\}$. By transfinite induction we will construct a sequence

$$((A_{\xi, \eta}, d_\xi) \in \mathcal{A} \times B_\xi : \xi, \eta < 2^\omega)$$

with the following conditions:

- (1) $(\forall \xi, \eta < 2^\omega)(A_{\xi, \eta} \cap B_\xi \neq \emptyset)$,

- (2) $\bigcup_{\xi, \eta < 2^\omega} A_{\xi, \eta} \cap \{d_\xi : \xi < 2^\omega\} = \emptyset$,
- (3) $(\forall \xi, \xi' < 2^\omega)(\forall \eta, \eta' < 2^\omega)(\eta \neq \eta' \longrightarrow A_{\xi, \eta} \neq A_{\xi', \eta'})$.

Let us fix $\alpha < 2^\omega$ and assume that we have defined the sequence

$$((A_{\xi, \eta}, d_\xi) \in \mathcal{A} \times B_\xi : \xi, \eta < \alpha)$$

with the following conditions:

- (1) $(\forall \xi, \eta < \alpha)(A_{\xi, \eta} \cap B_\xi \neq \emptyset)$,
- (2) $\bigcup_{\xi, \eta < \alpha} A_{\xi, \eta} \cap \{d_\xi : \xi < \alpha\} = \emptyset$,
- (3) $(\forall \xi, \xi' < \alpha)(\forall \eta, \eta' < \alpha)(\eta \neq \eta' \longrightarrow A_{\xi, \eta} \neq A_{\xi', \eta'})$.

For every $\xi < \alpha$ let us consider the set $\mathcal{A}(d_\xi) = \{A \in \mathcal{A} : d_\xi \in A\}$. By assumption (2) the family $\bigcup_{\xi < \alpha} \mathcal{A}(d_\xi)$ does not cover any $\mathbb{I}$ -positive Borel set. So, assumptions (1) and (2) guaranties that we can choose the set $\{A_{\alpha, \eta} \in \mathcal{A} : \eta < \alpha\}$ such that

- (1) $(\forall \xi, \xi' \leq \alpha)(\forall \eta, \eta' < \alpha)(\eta \neq \eta' \longrightarrow A_{\xi, \eta} \neq A_{\xi', \eta'})$,
- (2) $(\forall \eta < \alpha)(A_{\alpha, \eta} \cap B_\alpha \neq \emptyset)$,
- (3) $(\forall \xi, \eta < \alpha)(d_\xi \notin A_{\alpha, \eta})$.

The same argument gives us the set $\{A_{\xi, \alpha} \in \mathcal{A} : \xi \leq \alpha\}$ with the following properties:

- (1) $(\forall \xi, \xi' \leq \alpha)(\forall \eta < \alpha)(A_{\xi, \eta} \neq A_{\xi', \alpha})$,
- (2) $(\forall \xi \leq \alpha)(A_{\xi, \alpha} \cap B_\xi \neq \emptyset \wedge A_{\xi, \alpha} \cap \{d_{\xi'} : \xi' < \alpha\} = \emptyset)$.

Once again by assumption (2) we can find $d_\alpha \in B_\alpha$ such that $(\bigcup_{\xi, \eta \leq \alpha} A_{\xi, \eta}) \cap \{d_\alpha\} = \emptyset$. It finishes the α -step of our construction.

Now, let us put $\mathcal{A}_\eta = \{A_{\xi, \eta} \in \mathcal{A} : \xi < 2^\omega\}$ for any $\eta < 2^\omega$. The family $\{\mathcal{A}_\eta : \eta < 2^\omega\}$ fulfills the assertion of our Theorem. $\square$

Proposition 2.1. *If $\text{cov}_h(\mathbb{I}) = 2^\omega$ is a regular cardinal and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{I}$ is a cover of X such that each point is covered by less than continuum many members of $\mathcal{A}$ then there exists continuum many pairwise disjoint subfamilies $\{\mathcal{A}_\alpha : \alpha \in 2^\omega\}$ of a family $\mathcal{A}$ such that for every $\alpha \in 2^\omega$ a set $\bigcup \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ is completely $\mathbb{I}$ -nonmeasurable.*

Proposition 2.2. *If $\text{cov}_h(\mathbb{I}) = 2^\omega$ and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{I}$ is a point-finite family such that $\bigcup \mathcal{A} \notin \mathbb{I}$ then there exists continuum many pairwise disjoint subfamilies $\{\mathcal{A}_\alpha : \alpha \in 2^\omega\}$ of a family $\mathcal{A}$ such that for every $\alpha \in 2^\omega$ a set $\bigcup \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ is completely $\mathbb{I}$ -nonmeasurable in $\bigcup \mathcal{A}$.*

PROOF. First, we use Theorem 2.1 to obtain continuum many pairwise disjoint subfamilies $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$ for $\alpha < 2^\omega$ such that $\bigcup \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ is completely $\mathbb{I}$ -nonmeasurable in $[\bigcup \mathcal{A}]_{\mathbb{I}}$. Then by point-finiteness of family $\mathcal{A}$ the family $\{\bigcup \mathcal{A}_\alpha\}_{\alpha < 2^\omega}$ is also point-finite. Using Lemma 2.3 we can find a countable set $C \in [2^\omega]^\omega$ such that each member of the family $\{\bigcup \mathcal{A}_\alpha :$

$\alpha \in 2^\omega \setminus C\}$ does not contain any $\mathbb{I}$ -positive Borel set with respect to $\bigcup \mathcal{A}$. So, the family $\{\mathcal{A}_\alpha : \alpha \in 2^\omega \setminus C\}$ satisfies required conditions. $\square$

Recall that the σ -ideal $\mathbb{I}$ has Steinhaus property if for any two $\mathbb{I}$ -positive Borel sets $A, B \in \text{Borel} \setminus \mathbb{I}$ the complex sum $A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$ contains nonempty open set. Let us remark that if the ideal $\mathbb{I}$ has Steinhaus property then $\text{cov}_h(\mathbb{I}) = \text{cov}(\mathbb{I})$.

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that 2^ω is the smallest quasi-measurable cardinal. Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{I}$ be a point-finite family such that $\bigcup \mathcal{A} \notin \mathbb{I}$. Then $P(\mathcal{A})/\mathcal{I}$ is not c.c.c.*

PROOF. Assume that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{I}$ satisfies the following conditions

- (1) $\bigcup \mathcal{A} \notin \mathbb{I}$,
- (2) $P(\mathcal{A})/\mathcal{I}$ is c.c.c.

Since 2^ω is the minimal quasi-measurable cardinal, $|\mathcal{A}| = 2^\omega$. Moreover $\text{add}(\mathcal{A}) = 2^\omega$. By point-finiteness of the family $\mathcal{A}$ we get that $\text{add}(\{\bigcup \mathcal{A}(x) : x \in X\}) = 2^\omega$, where $\mathcal{A}(x) = \{A \in \mathcal{A} : x \in A\}$. So the family $\mathcal{A}$ fulfils the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 (for $X = [\bigcup \mathcal{A}]_{\mathbb{I}}$). By Theorem 2.1 there exists $\{\mathcal{C}_\alpha : \alpha < 2^\omega\}$ such that

- (1) $\mathcal{C}_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ for any $\alpha < 2^\omega$,
- (2) $\forall \alpha < 2^\omega \quad \bigcup \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ is completely $\mathbb{I}$ -nonmeasurable in $[\bigcup \mathcal{A}]_{\mathbb{I}}$,
- (3) $\forall \alpha, \beta < 2^\omega \quad \alpha \neq \beta \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{C}_\beta = \emptyset$.

In particular, a family $\{\mathcal{C}_\alpha : \alpha < 2^\omega\}$ forms an antichain in $P(\mathcal{A})/\mathcal{I}$, what gives a contradiction. $\square$

Theorem 2.3. *Assume there is no quasi-measurable cardinal smaller than 2^ω . Assume that the ideal $\mathbb{I}$ is c.c.c. Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{I}$ be a family satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) $\bigcup \mathcal{A} \notin \mathbb{I}$,
- (2) $(\forall x \in X) |\{A \in \mathcal{A} : x \in A\}| < \omega$.

Then there exists pairwise disjoint subfamilies $\{\mathcal{A}_\xi : \xi \in \omega_1\}$ of a family $\mathcal{A}$ such that each of the union $\bigcup \mathcal{A}_\xi$ is completely $\mathbb{I}$ -nonmeasurable in $\bigcup \mathcal{A}$.

PROOF. By transfinite induction we construct a family $\{B_\alpha\}$ of pairwise disjoint Borel sets and a family $\{\{\mathcal{A}_\xi^\alpha\}_{\xi \in \omega_1}\}$ of subfamilies of $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying the following conditions

- (1) $B_\alpha \cap \bigcup \mathcal{A} \notin \mathbb{I}$,
- (2) $(\forall \xi < \zeta < \omega_1) (\mathcal{A}_\xi^\alpha \cap \mathcal{A}_\zeta^\alpha = \emptyset)$,
- (3) $(\forall \xi < \omega_1) ([\bigcup \mathcal{A}_\xi^\alpha \setminus \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} B_\beta]_{\mathbb{I}} = B_\alpha)$.

At α -step we consider the family $\mathcal{A}^\alpha = \{A \setminus \bigcup_{\xi < \alpha} B_\xi : A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \bigcup_{\xi < \alpha} \mathcal{A}_\xi\}$. If $\bigcup \mathcal{A}^\alpha \in \mathbb{I}$ then we finish our construction. If $\bigcup \mathcal{A}^\alpha \notin$

$\mathbb{I}$ then by Theorem 2.2 the algebra $P(\mathcal{A}^\alpha)/\mathcal{I}$ is not c.c.c. We use Lemma 2.2 to obtain a required family $\{\mathcal{A}_\xi^\alpha\}_{\xi \in \omega_1}$. We put $B_\alpha = [\bigcup \mathcal{A}_0^\alpha \setminus \bigcup_{\zeta < \alpha} B_\zeta]_{\mathbb{I}}$.

Since $\mathbb{I}$ satisfies c.c.c. the construction have to end up at some step $\gamma < \omega_1$.

Now put $\mathcal{A}'_\xi = \bigcup_{\alpha < \gamma} \mathcal{A}_\xi^\alpha$. By construction for each $\xi < \omega_1$ we have $[\bigcup \mathcal{A}'_\xi]_{\mathbb{I}} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \gamma} B_\alpha = [\bigcup \mathcal{A}]_{\mathbb{I}}$.

The family $\{\bigcup \mathcal{A}'_\xi : \xi \in \omega_1\}$ is point finite because for every $x \in X$

$$\left| \left\{ \bigcup \mathcal{A}'_\xi : x \in \bigcup \mathcal{A}'_\xi \right\} \right| \leq |\{A \in \mathcal{A} : x \in A\}| < \omega.$$

Now using Lemma 2.3 we can find a countable set $C \in [\omega_1]^\omega$ such that each member of the family $\{\bigcup \mathcal{A}'_\xi : \xi \in \omega_1 \setminus C\}$ does not contain any $\mathbb{I}$ -positive set of the form $B \cap \bigcup \mathcal{A}$, where B is Borel. So, the family $\{\mathcal{A}'_\xi : \xi \in \omega_1 \setminus C\}$ satisfies required conditions. $\square$

References

- [1] J. BRZUCHOWSKI, J. CICHÓN, E. GRZEGOREK, C. RYLL-NARDZEWSKI, *On the existence of nonmeasurable unions*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. **27** (1979), 447-448.
- [2] J. CICHÓN, M. MORAYNE, R. RAŁOWSKI, C. RYLL-NARDZEWSKI, S. ŻEBERSKI, *On nonmeasurable unions*, Topol. and Its Appl. **154** (2007), 884-893.
- [3] T. JECH, *Set Theory*, Springer-Verlag, (2003).
- [4] SZ. ŻEBERSKI, *On completely nonmeasurable unions*, Math. Log. Quart. **53** No. 1 (2007), 38-42.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, WROCLAW UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, WYBRZEŻE WYSPIAŃSKIEGO 27, 50-370 WROCLAW, POLAND.

E-mail address, Robert Rałowski: robert.ralowski@pwr.wroc.pl

E-mail address, Szymon Żeberski: szymon.zeberski@pwr.wroc.pl